

Welcome to our 49th BRMI E-Journal! March 1, 2025

Changes in Healthcare Freedom

The medical landscape is changing fast, and fortunately, much for the better, particularly regarding healthcare freedom. Four years have passed since the onset of COVID-19 “pandemic” and the global misinformation and experimental inoculation program that followed. Now, the same governments and international organizations that lied and misused power from the last “pandemic” have been negotiating a new pandemic agreement and amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) at the World Health Organization (WHO).

President Trump Withdraws the US from WHO Membership

Thankfully, it has recently been declared by executive order that the U.S. will not engage with or participate in the World Health Organization’s pandemic agreement or international health regulations. This unpopular, undemocratic “agreement” was essentially a bid for global control and would have eliminated our medical sovereignty. Also, there has been a temporary pause in the transfer of any US funds and resources to the WHO. As a consequence, it is expected that the WHO may cut its 2026-2027 budget by about 400 million dollars, as the US is the WHO's biggest donor. Additionally, US personnel and contractors working in any capacity with the WHO have been recalled and reassigned.

According to the official executive order, “The United States noticed its withdrawal from the World Health Organization in 2020 due to the organization’s mishandling of the COVID-19 pandemic that arose out of Wuhan, China, and other global health crises, its failure to adopt urgently needed reforms, and its inability to demonstrate independence from the inappropriate political influence of WHO member states. In addition, the WHO continues to demand unfairly onerous payments from the United States, far out of proportion with other countries’ assessed payments. China with a population of 1.4 billion, has 300 percent of the population of the United States, yet contributes nearly 90 percent less to the WHO.”

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/withdrawing-the-united-states-from-the-worldhealth-organization/>

The Issues With the Pandemic Agreement

The pandemic agreement would take a sledgehammer to American First Amendment free speech rights. The willingness of governments to use the pandemic to clamp down on unpopular ideas and opinions to “protect” public health and safety has proven durable. And this new agreement instructs governments to “cooperate, in accordance with national law, in preventing misinformation and disinformation.” Such language in an international agreement will encourage those in free countries who similarly wish to suppress unpopular opinions under the guise of countering misinformation and disinformation.

Indeed, the WHO itself seems offended by criticism. Earlier this year, Director-General Tedros said that negotiations were occurring in a very difficult environment, facing a “torrent of fake news, lies, and conspiracy theories.” Ironically, this argument was the same one used against conservatives who subscribed to the now-proven lab leak theory.

Our government must wholly reject it.

In short, the new pandemic agreement should alarm all Americans. It is far more focused on redistributing income, transferring technology, and weakening intellectual property than on preventing, detecting, and responding to pandemics in the first place. It failed to address the elephant in the room—the total lack of enforcement in the IHR—and as written, it is nothing short of a power grab by the Chinese Communist Party-controlled WHO.

The False Illusion of the WHO

The WHO is one of several globalist institutions that emerged after World War II, as some country governments agreed to sacrifice aspects of their sovereignty allegedly “for the common good”. The WHO is not subjected to genuinely democratic electoral procedures, in the sense of representing the choice expressed by the populations of its member countries. If you go to the official WHO site, you will of course get the impression that this organization has a spotless record and deserves to be praised for its humanitarian deeds. But this illusion is far from the truth.

The WHO resembles Monsanto, the multinational corporation that dominates agribusiness by promoting genetically modified seeds with "terminator genes," while presenting itself as prioritizing the well-being and development of poor countries.

Criticisms of WHO Leadership

The WHO under the direction of Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, has been accused of being corrupt, inefficient, deceiving, and overly reliant on private donors with questionable "humanitarian" agendas. It is important to realize that the accusations are weighty, well-argued, and made by institutions that are well established, and pronounced by scientists and investigative journalists who are credible and trustworthy. It is difficult to dismiss all of them as a handful of conspiracy theorists, as regularly happens nowadays as soon as an interesting and well-argued debate is launched on a sensitive issue.

The goal of the current executive order is in part to prevent corruption through greater transparency and reinforce accountability mechanisms of their healthcare policies, but also to opt out of the pandemic agreement.

A Time to Speak Up

As William Faulkner said, "Never be afraid to raise your voice for honesty and truth and compassion against injustice and lying and greed. If people all over the world...would do this, it would change the earth."

AI Information Programs

Many artificial intelligence information platforms are now being used to collect data and information (ChatGPT, Chatbot AI, DeepSeek, Google Gemini, DeeChat AI, Perplexity, etc.) It seems there is a new one every week. These platforms indeed provide convenience in retrieving information but should be used with extreme caution as they are biased in their programming and often omit important medical information. This is similar to Wikipedia's negative bias against alternative–natural (bioregulatory) medicine.

We at BRMI do our utmost to provide evidence-based information on hundreds of topics related to health care, medical history, and pioneers of bioregulatory medicine.

Renewed Hope for Medical Truths

Hope is now renewed that truth will become more revealed and censorship will cease. However, we should continue to do our due diligence in researching scientific and medical topics by looking at all sides of the issue and not one narrative.

Because BRMI is a non-commercial, non-profit institute, this empowers us to present uncensored, open-forum information and referenced commentaries, and in turn, enlighten our viewers to more than one medical viewpoint. We regularly update our News Page with abundant resources, including articles and videos from around the world on current topics related to medical freedom and preventive and therapeutic bioregulatory health. In our news forum, we strive to be a trusted and informed source of factual science-based information for our readers. If there is newsworthy information relevant to the science of self-healing that you would like to share, please contact me.

Obituary

Sadly, Dr. Francis Boyle died on January 30th, 2025, at the age of 74. **According to the News Gazette** he died at 12:22 a.m. Thursday (Jan. 30, 2025) at Carle Foundation Hospital. Dr. Boyle was way ahead of the media and stated that the COVID 19 injections were bioweapons in 2020 before they even came out. Dr. Boyle **provided an affidavit** stating that mRNA nanoparticle injections are bioweapons.. Among other things, Dr. Boyle was an advisor to **the National ARM**. May he rest in peace

Here is a brief highlight of his professional career from the **University of Illinois college of Law** where he was a law professor.

Francis Boyle is a professor of international law at the University of Illinois College of Law. He received an AB (1971) in Political Science from the University of Chicago, then a JD degree *magna cum laude* from Harvard Law School, and AM and PhD degrees in Political Science from Harvard University. He practiced tax and international tax with Bingham, Dana & Gould.

Professor Boyle serves as counsel to Bosnia and Herzegovina and to the Provisional Government of the Palestinian Authority. He also represents two associations of citizens within Bosnia and was involved in developing the indictment against Slobodan Milosević for genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Over his career, he has represented national and international bodies including the Blackfoot Nation (Canada), the Nation of Hawaii, and the Lakota Nation, as well as numerous individual death penalty and human rights cases. He has advised numerous international bodies in the areas of human rights, war crimes and genocide, nuclear policy, and bio-warfare. From 1991-92, he served as Legal Advisor to the Palestinian Delegation to the Middle East Peace Negotiations.

Professor Boyle served on the Board of Directors of Amnesty International, as a consultant to the American Friends Service Committee, and on the Advisory Board for the Council for Responsible Genetics. He drafted the U.S. domestic implementing legislation for the Biological Weapons Convention, known as the Biological Weapons Anti-Terrorism Act of 1989, that was approved unanimously by both Houses of the U.S. Congress and signed into law by President George H.W. Bush.

Education

AM, PhD Harvard University
JD Harvard Law School
AB University of Chicago

Areas of Expertise

Constitutional Law (U.S. Foreign Affairs)
Human Rights

Jurisprudence
U.S. Foreign Affairs

We sincerely thank all our viewers for their support, comments, and article submissions, and for liking us on our social media, weekly podcasts, and YouTube. and for your interest in Bioregulatory Medicine.

James Odell, ND, OMD, L.Ac.
BRMI Executive/Medical Director
jpmmodell@brmi.online

As with any healthcare newsletter, the information in the BRMI e-Journal is intended for educational purposes only and is not a substitute for the advice or direct care of a qualified health practitioner who oversees and provides unique and individualized diagnostics and care. The information provided here is to broaden our different perspectives and should not be construed as medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment. It is important not to delay in seeking medical advice because of something you have read in this BRMI E-Journal..